

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/774,297 | Applicant(s) CHICHKOV ET AL. | |
| | Examiner QING-YUAN WU | Art Unit 2194 | |

All Participants:

(1) QING-YUAN WU.

(2) Mark Hollingsworth.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11/25/09

Time: 12:00PM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

15-19

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's remark regarding the amendment to claims 15-19 is inconsistent with the claims presented.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)